

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/010,628 11/07/2001		Ronald Rakos	BSI-481US	4873		
7590 01/23/2004			EXAMINER			
Christopher R. Lewis			HO, UY	HO, UYEN T		
Ratner & Prestia		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 980		3731	6			
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	— A		
					•		
, 0	Office Action Common .	10/010,	628	RAKOS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
			Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	_		
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on ti	he cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Ex afi - If i - If i - Fa - Ar	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED AND A COMMUNICATED	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. days, a reply within the st tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a repl tatutory minimum of thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication (155 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1)∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>07 November</u>	<u>2001</u> .				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)	⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	ition of Claims						
4)∑	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[∑	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.		•		
Applic	ation Papers						
, –	☐ The specification is objected to by the						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a						
	Applicant may not request that any objecti						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)[lacksquare The oath or declaration is objected to $f t$	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docur all Bureau (PCT R for a list of the ce domestic priority in the first senten uage provisional domestic priority	een received. een received in Appends have been received in Appends in Append	plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional appl ion or in an Application Data en received. § 120 and/or 121 since a spe	ication) Sheet.		
Attachm			4. □				
2) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/010,628

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 4/29/2002, 5/21/2002 and 10/20/2003 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 18-21, 24, 25-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hojeibane (6,017,363). Hojeibane disclose an endoluminal device, a system and method for deployment of the endoluminal device, as claimed (see figures 11-13, col. 4 to col.10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 4-17, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hojeibane (6,017,363). In regard to claim 3, although, Hojeibane

Application/Control Number: 10/010,628

Art Unit: 3731

ą

does not disclose the seal ring, it is well known in the art to have seal rings at stent ends in order to anchor and seal the stent ends to a blood vessel wall. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Hojeibane reference by having seal rings at the end of Hojeibane's stent in order to anchor and seal the stent in place.

In regard to claims 4-17, and 22, Hojeibane does not disclose a stent cover/covers (or graft/grafts), it is well known in the art to provide a cover/covers for a stent, as claimed in order to prevent material passage through the body or a desired body portion of the stent. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a cover/covers for Hojeibane's stent in order to prevent material passage through the body or a desired body portion of the stent.

In regard to claim 23, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Hojeibane reference by having a first opening comprises a greater open area than the second opening since applicants have not disclose that having the first opening comprises a greater open area than the second opening solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the endoluminal device would perform equally well with the first opening comprises a greater open area than or a equal open area with the second opening.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

January 21, 2004